

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/786,626	10/11/2001	Keiichi Tanaka	0234-0421P	3843
	590 11/10/2004		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEW PO BOX 747	VART KOLASCH & E	JOHNSON, EDWARD M		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	
		•	DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory .	Action
------------	--------

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
09/786,626	TANAKA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Edward M. Johnson	1754	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 26 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

١	Examination (RGE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
	 a)
	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
	(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
	(d) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected:
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
	8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
	10. Other: See Continuation Sheet
	-

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendment combines subject matter of previously finally rejected claims, which along with dependencies would create new combinations of subject matter previously not claimed, which would be a new issue requiring further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is argued that the proposed amended claims would be allowable over the cited prior art. This is not persuasive for reasons already of record and because the amendment has not yet been entered. It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the features of the proposed amendment) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Continuation of 10. Other: no statement was made under section V of the most recent IDS. Both the fee and the statement are required after final for the IDS to be considered.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMAL LA

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700